MAC METERINO

STERES

1 MOVEMBER 1956

BERGARY AND POLAND

- I. In Hungary, Seviet treops at last withdrawing from Budapest, as Shukov told Bohlon they would. Now, uneasy conlition between some of multiple "revolutionary committees" and Hagy regime is trying to take ever. "Revolutionaries" clearly have upper hand.
 - A. Hagy has agreed to form now army, from insurgent daits, under command of "Revolutionary Armed Forces Committee."
 - B. Hegy is also forming now multi-party government, has promised future free elections and has asked withdrawal from Varenw Pact.
 - C. Now provisional government will be under compulsion to heed wishes of insurgents, who will hold military control.
- II. Noncew announced 30 Oct now prepared discuss troop withdrawals from Poland and Russmin, as well as Hungary.
 - A. Seviet troops normally stationed in Bungary probably will now return to garrisons, sit there until ordered out of country.
 - B. Units in Hungary from Remania and USSR (at least 1 div. each) may be withdrawing to normal stations already.
- III. Internally, various Hungarian insurgent forces and "committees" represent whole spectrum political views...from "mational" Communist to clearly anti-Communist."
 - A. Thus, incurgents had only two primary bases for unity; joint action in upriming and joint desire for Hungarian independence (including immediate Soviet troop withdrawal from entire country).

DOCHMENT NO.

NO CHANGE IN GLASS. ET

DECLASSIMED

CLASS, CHANGED TO: TS S C

NEXT REVIEW DATE:

Approved For Release 2001/08/14 - GIA-RDP79R008904600800010001-7

Approved For Release 2001/08/14 : CIA-RDP79R00890A000800010001-7

IV. In addition to basic demands -- Bungarian independence and Seviet troop withdrawal -- insurgents called for:

End of symbols of Soviet control, e.g. red star and replacement with national symbols, e.g. Kossuth@cost-of-arms. Liquidation Communist security and secret police. Conlition government.

Free elections.

- A. By 30 Oct, regime had promised to meet all these demands.
- B. Regime has not yet committed itself specifically en certain questions of time--had dedged dates for Seviet treep withdrawal, holding free elections.

- In Poland, although Gooulka has reiterated several times that first and forement interest is maintaining friendly alliance with USSR, new Polish Government does not feel bound to act in concert with USSR on foreign policy.
 - A. CIA report states that Benelks, mistrusting Stalinist leftovers in his fereign service, wants to arrange private contact with the US government.
 - B. Demostically, Gomulks has started on measures to improve let of average Pole, thus gaining support for regime. These include:
 - 1. New electoral law.
 - ii. End to unjust judicial procedures.
 - 111. End to coursive collectivization.
 - iv. Steps toward secondedtion with the Church.
- VI. Moscow's 30 Oct offer negotiate withdrawal flow troops from Satellites may be too little too late.
 - A. Beviet leaders confused and unrealistic: Ehrushchev is blaming Polish and Hungarian difficulties on their excessive rate of industrialisation, claiming USSR had varned against this.
 - B. Ehukev told Bobles on 29 Oct Soviets could have "crushed Poles like flice" but had shown great restraint. Conversation confirmed that Soviets seriously considered force in Poland.
 - C. Meletor told Bohlen that Mungary illustrated what "small erganized group" could do, that masses rarely took any initiative of their own.

SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/14 : CIA-RDP79R00890A000800010001-7

VII. 30 Get declaration amounts almost to capitulation.

- A. That Soviet leaders did not intend it to be capitulation is evidenced by:
 - i. great emphasis placed on Warsaw Pact as necessary to protect Communist system.
 - 11. Pointed omission of East Germany from list of countries where continued presence of Soviet troops subject to agetistion.
- B. However, declaration unlikely to influence course of nationalist tide among both Communists and non-Communists in Poland and Mangary.
- C. This ugly picture puts considerable strain on Sov "collective leadership.
- D. Khrushchev and Bulganin more subject to criticism than Moletov, who opposed Eastern European policy, or Enganovich.

